

Date: Tue, 23 Mar 93 04:30:19 PST  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #72  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Tue, 23 Mar 93                      Volume 93 : Issue    72

Today's Topics:

                    DidYouKnow...  
                    public apology (2 msgs)  
            QUERY: release of military bands...  
                    rec.radio.info

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----

Date: Mon, 22 Mar 1993 22:51:44 GMT  
From: sdd.hp.com!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!gatech!ncar!  
uchinews!quads!buzy@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: DidYouKnow...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Today Japan owns the 7/11 store chain, Dunlop, Universal Pictures, Columbia  
Pictures, Loews Theaters, MCA Home Entertainment, Tri-Star Pictures, CBS  
Records, Columbia Records, Spencers stores, Ciniplex Odeon (a big part),  
Firestone Tires and many many more very large US companies while Americans  
are prevented from owning any important Japanese concerns.

To find out more about this (and get a more complete list of the above), read  
(JAPANYES) "Does America Say Yes To Japan?"; Louis Leclerc 1992,93 which is  
available free on INTERNET. (most recent edition is v031993). This thoughtfully  
written and important article has been circulating widely in many of America's  
biggest corporations & universities like IBM & Harvard. When you read it (it  
takes about 30 minutes), you'll see why.

The essay provides a frightening yet fascinating detailed, referenced overview of the Japanese industrial machine at work and how Japan practices 'business is war' strategies to target and take over strategic critical U.S. industries like high technology, popular media and heavy industry as well as influence the decisions of the US government in favor of Japan. It is a very moving piece and is filled with many verifiable and disturbing examples. You can get JAPANYES 1 of 3 ways:

- 1)FTP to monu6.cc.monash.edu.au it's in directory: pub/nihongo as: JAPANYES
- 2)The article has been posted in its entirety (in three sections however) in the misc.test & soc.culture.usa & sci.econ newsgroups. Search on the author 'buzy' or the title 'article' to find the posts.
- 3)Email a request for JAPANYES to ar12@midway.uchicago.edu  
He will email you a copy.

-----  
Date: Tue, 23 Mar 1993 07:03:54 GMT  
From: usc!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!darwin.sura.net!rouge!cfm1471@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Public Apology  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

\*\*\*\*\*BONG BONG BONG\*\*\*\*\*ALERT\*\*\*\*\* BONG BONG BONG\*\*\*\*\*

ALERT! NEWSGROUP NAME CHANGE! REC.RADIO.AMATEUR.POLICY is now to be called REC.RADIO.ENGLISH.LANGUAGE.USAGE.AMATEUR.POLICY. Please notify your sysadm to the change, and please change your NNTP services. Any postings regarding hamradio will be killed at ANY site! If you are caught posting ham related topics or anything to do with radio, you will lose your account, be fired from your job, and shot on sight!

Steve, again, GET A LIFE!

Once a fellow ham was called a weenie, because all he did was play with that "damn" radio. Stuff like this kinda (guess that is'nt a word either, but if you dont know what it means, please ask Calvin, and tell us about the ZOO again.We really wanna [darn, again] hear it!) makes me think that some of us really are weenies! By "us", i mean the fraternal (probably is'nt a word either) brotherhood of hamradio!

Charlie

```
=====
| Charles Morrison      | cfm1471@ucs.usl.edu   | These are my views,   |
| KI5XP                | ki5xp@ucs.usl.edu    | NOT anyone elses!    |
| U. of SouthWestern   | ki5xp@ki5xp.aara.org  | But who cares?       |
|      La.             | KI5XP @ K5ARH.LA     |                       |
| Lafayette, La 70506  |                       |                       |
```

=====

-----

Date: Tue, 23 Mar 93 05:27:18 GMT  
From: usc!sol.ctr.columbia.edu!destroyer!cs.ubc.ca!alberta!adec23!ve6mgs!  
mark@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: public apology  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

steve@netcom.com (Steven Wilson) sez:  
> MOSIER@iris.uncg.EDU (Steve Mosier) writes:  
> > their services. Irregardless of whether you are in the right or the  
> Sorry. No such word. Please restart.  
> Avertisseur Avertisseur Avertisseur !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!  
>  
> Anglais Snob Alerte !!!  
>  
> Risque Will Robinson !!!  
> . . .  
> 1) It is a word and 2) Who cares...  
I do, flaming entertains me when I get bored of 14.313 ...

Hope we answered the original poster's question? :-)

< Don't apologise, and definitely tell everyone over the air about the  
>  
< intimidation >

--

-- Ciao Mark Salyzyn mark@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca|mark@adec23.UUCP|an16969|an17082  
- Entries to the Amateurs on USENET List: hams-on-usenet@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca  
- Postings to rec.radio.info: rec-radio-info@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca  
- rec.radio.info administrivia: rec-radio-request@ve6mgs.ampr.ab.ca

-----

Date: Tue, 23 Mar 93 01:31:32 GMT  
From: sdd.hp.com!saimiri.prima.te.wisc.edu!zazen!psl.wisc.edu!  
mancini@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: QUERY: release of military bands...  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I recall hearing somewhere recently that some military bands had  
been relinquished for civilian use, possibly public. Does anyone  
have more specific information, and/or possible source of more  
info? RSVP by e-mail. Much obliged.

Derrick

-----  
Date: 22 Mar 93 11:04:42 -0500  
From: swrinde!zaphod.mps.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!bogus.sura.net!  
darwin.sura.net!guvax.acc.georgetown.edu!jay@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: rec.radio.info  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

Hello all,

I am looking for the email address for the moderator of the group:

rec.radio.info.

Please respond to me by mail. Thanks in advance for any information  
you might be able to provide.

Respectfully, Jay Whittle

-----  
Date: Mon, 22 Mar 1993 14:04:52 GMT  
From: swrinde!gatech!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary@network.UCSD.EDU  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Mar19.023008.15269@qualcomm.com>,  
<1993Mar20.154128.17484@ke4zv.uucp>, <1993Mar22.010104.27200@qualcomm.com>  
Reply-To : gary@ke4zv.UUCP (Gary Coffman)  
Subject : Re: No Radios on Airlines

In article <1993Mar22.010104.27200@qualcomm.com> karn@servo.qualcomm.com writes:  
[I wrote]

>|> They only buy what the FAA \*mandates\* they buy. Any use of an unapproved  
>|> system would open them up to incredible legal liability suits if there  
>|> were an accident.

>

>Agreed. And since GPS is not yet approved, it's understandable that  
>it's not being used. But isn't LORAN-C now approved for enroute  
>navigation? The FAA just spent quite a bit of money filling in the  
>"mid continent gap" inherited from LORAN's marine and Coast Guard  
>roots. Now that there's full CONUS LORAN-C coverage, why isn't it more  
>widely used by commercial US aviation, at least as a backup to  
>VOR/DME? Although the 100 Khz band is more susceptible to  
>thunderstorms than VHF, the pulsed LORAN signal format is inherently  
>very resistant to interference. (It almost qualifies as "spread  
>spectrum").

I'm not sure it was the FAA that expanded the Loran C net. I think it was still the Coast Guard. It's for inland navigation on lakes and rivers and such. I have a Loran C unit mounted in my truck. While it usually is accurate to about 600 feet, and \*repeatable to much better than that, there are times when it's in error by miles. That's because of terrain problems, over water or in the air it should do better. However, a friend who carries a portable in his light plane reports similar errors, especially when flying near Stone Mountain.

The signals are the reverse of spread spectrum, everything is on the same frequency, and the stations are slotted by time. You have to get a receive lock on at least three of the stations to get a position fix. The receivers have adaptive filters that optimize reception of the slotted signals. It usually takes several minutes for the receiver to acquire lock and start reporting accurate position information.

>On the other hand, the VOR and ILS signals are about as fragile as you  
>can get. It's amazing they work as well as they do.

VOR is rather simple and robust, and microwave ILS is good. The older ILS systems operating at high HF are bad, and the MF airway markers are nearly useless most of the time. Since those systems date from the 1920s, I'm not surprised.

Gary

--

Gary Coffman KE4ZV		You make it,		gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary
Destructive Testing Systems		we break it.		uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary
534 Shannon Way		Guaranteed!		emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary
Lawrenceville, GA 30244				

-----

Date: Mon, 22 Mar 93 12:39:08 GMT  
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx!jmaynard@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993Mar19.023008.15269@qualcomm.com>,  
<1993Mar20.154128.17484@ke4zv.uucp>, <1993Mar22.010104.27200@qualcomm.com>  
Subject : Re: No Radios on Airlines

In article <1993Mar22.010104.27200@qualcomm.com> karn@servo.qualcomm.com writes:  
>Although the 100 Khz band is more susceptible to  
>thunderstorms than VHF, the pulsed LORAN signal format is inherently  
>very resistant to interference. (It almost qualifies as "spread  
>spectrum").

Just ask that question on rec.aviation.ifr. There have been many, many reports of LORAN-C receivers getting completely confused by thunderstorms anywhere in the area.

>On the other hand, the VOR and ILS signals are about as fragile as you  
>can get. It's amazing they work as well as they do.

ILSes are increasingly getting hammered by FM broadcast, but other than that, they do work very well. There's another consideration: money. If a top-quality current production NAV-COMM costs \$4K or so, just think about how much it would cost owners of small planes to convert to another system. Better yet, call AOPA and ask them about MLS - they've been through that recently.

--

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can  
jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.

"I can understand if it just won't work but I think locking up my system  
to tell me this is a little excessive." -- Steve Luzynski

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #72

\*\*\*\*\*